

**Remarks of Michael Crosby, OFM Cap., Corporate Responsibility Agent, Milwaukee  
Province of St. Joseph of the Capuchin Order (Midwest Capuchin Franciscans)  
Cell: 414.406.1265  
ExxonMobil Annual Meeting  
May 27, 2009**

Mr. Tillerson, as you and many shareholders here know, since 1997 my Province of St. Joseph has been raising with ExxonMobil the issue of Climate Change. Not long after you became CEO, I was invited to MIT by ExxonMobil to an "Opinion Leaders" Conference (December 4-6, 2005) it was sponsoring. The most important input came from Ronald G. Prinn of MIT. He was then TEPCO Professor of Atmospheric Sciences at MIT's Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change. He clearly stated global warming exists and that a key contributor to it was the burning of fossil fuels like our gasoline. Because this is the first time I heard such statements without a challenge from XOM, when I asked why none of the XOM representatives there challenged his statements, they said they had no problem accepting his data.

Last week Doctor Prinn presented an update of his material in the *Journal of Climate*. He said that, by 2100, global warming will be twice as severe as he previously estimated just five years ago (9° vs. 4° [median probability of 5.2° Celsius now vs. 2.4° Celsius in 2003]). He said: "There's no way the world can or should take these risks."

In XOM's latest Corporate Citizen Report it says it is involved in "robust research" with MIT and other prestigious universities. Dr. Ronald Prinn is now Director of MIT's Center for Global Change Science and still Co-Directs its Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change. Unless you are willing to disassociate yourself and the Company from Dr. Prinn's peer-reviewed findings in this month's *Journal of Climate*, of the American Meteorological Society, Mr. Tillerson, it seems clear to me that, as the world's biggest publicly-held fossil fuel producer, XOM must now admit it is producing a product that is hazardous to the health of this planet. Therefore it is morally obliged to warn its consumers they are risking their own future and the future of the planet by burning our gasoline.

Earlier this month I again was in Boston for a tobacco meeting. There we were told how XOM has used some of the same tactics used by the tobacco industry (like having Fred Singer, Hill and Knowlton and various front groups) to obfuscate the issue. Even though you now admit global warming exists, you are still committed as ever to keep producing the very same product that is a key source of the very problem that Dr. Prinn now says demands massive and rapid action.

As a first step, following the tobacco companies who now warn their consumers they make a product that kills, I think XOM should consider putting a warning on every one of its gas pumps and in all of its ads that says something like: "using our gasoline is hazardous to the health of our planet and all living things" or others that say something like: "use at your own risk."

In this light, I have a twofold question: Do you accept Dr. Prinn's recalculation of the risks associated with global warming? If so, would you consider adopting some kind of warning for those who consume our gasoline or, if not, at least be willing to change your scenario planning to positively address Dr. Prinn's data?